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SQL: History

- **SQL** (Structured Query Language) is the most popular and well-known relational DB language today.

- Almost every relational DBMS "understands" SQL!

- SQL has been developed in the early 1970s at IBM (as interface to the relational prototype DBMS "System R").

- Original name: **SEQUEL** (Structured English Query Language)

- First SQL standard: SQL1 in 1986 by ANSI in the USA, revised in 1989

- Considerable extensions of the standard over the years: SQL2 or SQL92, resp., SQL3 or SQL:1999, SQL:2003

- **Attention**! Nearly every commercial DB product has its own "dialect" of SQL. None of them implements it completely and exactly.

- . . . and: SQL is a "huge" language – more than 1500 pages of standard text.
„Classical" (but arguably still the best) source about SQL:

Chris Date, Hugh Darwen: „A Guide to the SQL Standard"

ISBN 0-201 964-260
Addison Wesley, 1997 (4th edition)
~ € 44

Good new book about the new SQL standard:

Basics of SQL

• SQL has its own terminology of relational concepts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access</th>
<th>SQL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>datasheet</td>
<td>table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>field</td>
<td>column</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>record</td>
<td>row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data type</td>
<td>domain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Tables in SQL are no proper relations, but may contain duplicates and may be ordered. Duplicates can be eliminated by the user, though.

• The name „Structured English Query Language“ indicates that SQL is a keyword-based language which reads like simple English: All keywords are English natural language words. Keywords are „reserved“ and may not be used for other purposes.

• SQL is a purely textual language without graphical elements.

• SQL consists of two sublanguages:
  • a data definition language (DDL) for defining databases schemas
  • a data manipulation language (DML) for expressing queries and updates
Data Manipulation in SQL
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Queries and updates in SQL: Overview

- SQL data manipulation language: statements for „manipulating" data

- Two forms of manipulation:
  - Evaluation of queries
  - Execution of updates

- The format of simple queries has already been addressed in connection with Access:

  SELECT-FROM-WHERE statements

- But the SQL query language (as part of the SQL-DML) can do much more!

- Goal of this section: Introduction to the foundations of this powerful language

- You can become an expert in SQL by much more intense training and self-studies only!

- At the end of this section: Treatment of update statements in SQL (INSERT, DELETE, UPDATE etc.)
In SQL, there are **two** types of queries:

- **Table expression**
- **Conditional expression**

**Problem (?)**: Only table expressions can be directly posed as queries by the user (similar to selection queries in Access)!

**Result:**
- Derived table
- Truth value
Table expressions: Basic structure

- Basic component of any SQL query: SELECT-FROM-WHERE blocks

- Syntactic structure in the simplest case:

  SELECT (list_of_column_names)  
  FROM (list_of_table_names)  
  WHERE condition

  columns of the result table
  „input“ tables
  selection condition

- Example:

  SELECT    capital, population  
  FROM cities, countries  
  WHERE population >= 1000 AND city=capital ;

  Find all capitals with more than a million population !

- In SQL, upper or lower case does not matter for table and column names.
Meaning of a SELECT-FROM-WHERE block:

Input tables → FROM → Combination of all input tables → WHERE → Choice of certain rows → SELECT → Choice of certain columns → Result table
Evaluation of example query

Let us apply this 3-step process for evaluating the example query over the „Europe“ DB:

\[
\text{SELECT capital, population} \\
\text{FROM cities, countries} \\
\text{WHERE population >= 1000 AND city = capital ;}
\]

77 cities

46 countries
Evaluation of example query (2)

```
SELECT capital, population
FROM cities, countries
WHERE population >= 1000 AND city=capital ;
```

In the first step, a huge table containing all $46 \times 77 = 3542$ combinations of rows on cities and rows in countries is formed – at least conceptually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>city</th>
<th>country</th>
<th>population</th>
<th>year</th>
<th>country</th>
<th>code</th>
<th>capital</th>
<th>area</th>
<th>population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3542 rows !!

This huge table is called the **product** of cities and countries. Never form a product unless you make sure to „cut it down“ immediately after – or unless you actually want it that big!
In the next step, all those rows are eliminated from the enormous product table which do not satisfy the WHERE-condition – only 46 capitals remain, of which 16 are big enough.

Still, all the columns remain – even though most of them are irrelevant for the query.
SELECT    capital, population
FROM      cities, countries
WHERE     population >= 1000 AND
city=capital ;

Finally, the unwanted columns are eliminated – i.e., only capital and population remain.
OOPS, there is a problem: We do know which population copy to take (the one originating from cities) but the DB system doesn’t know! Let us postpone the problem!

The elimination of columns is called the projection operation, by the way.
• The **WHERE part** of an SFW-block is – in its basic form – nothing but a selection condition composed of individual comparisons of column values of the tables mentioned in FROM with other column values or constants.

• **Comparisons** make use of the following six comparison operators:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
= & <> \\
< & > \\
e< & >=
\end{array}
\]

• Comparisons can be logically combined by the three basic operators of propositional logic (called **junctors**), written in keyword notation:

\[
\text{AND} \quad \text{OR} \quad \text{NOT}
\]

• Arbitrary **nesting** is possible (using brackets). There are more complex conditions which we will introduce later.

• The **purpose** of the WHERE-part is to select those rows, which satisfy the condition for inclusion into the answer table.
Theory of propositions and their connecting operators: **Propositional logic**

A **proposition (statement)** is a sentence (a linguistic entity) of which it is reasonable to say that it is true or false.

(Aristotle, Greek mathematician, 384-322 B.C.)

Examples of elementary statements:

- \( 5 < 6 \)  \[ \text{true} \]
- 8 is a prime number  \[ \text{false} \]
- The moon is made of cheese  \[ \text{false} \]
Propositional operators

- **Compound statements** are composed from other statements by means of logical operators (connectors).

- **Binary** (dyadic) operators of propositional logic:
  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conjunction</th>
<th>Disjunction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\wedge$</td>
<td>$\lor$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;and&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;or&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(also: $&amp;$)</td>
<td>(also: $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Unary** (monadic) operator:
  
  | Negation |
  | $\neg$ |
  | "not" |

- Elementary statements as well as other compound statements may be connected via such operators in order to form arbitrarily nested complex propositions, e.g.:

$$((5 < 6) \land (8 \text{ is a prime number})) \lor \neg (\text{The moon is made of cheese})$$
Operators are syntactical "tools", by which the meaning ("semantics") of compound statements can be derived from the meaning of their parts.

How this is to be done is determined by so-called truth tables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A ∧ B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T: true
F: false

To be read this way: If A is true and B is false, then the statement A ∧ B has the truth value false.
Meaning of operators (2)

- Truth tables of the binary operators:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A ∨ B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A ∧ B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- A bit unusual: Propositional or is not exclusive, it is not a real alternative, but “subsumes” propositional and.

- Truth table of negation:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>¬ A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
Evaluating compound statements

Truth values of compound statements can be derived systematically from the truth values of their parts, using the meaning of the operators involved, e.g.:

\[((5 < 6) \land (8 \text{ is a prime number})) \lor \neg (\text{The moon is made of cheese})\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A \land B</th>
<th>A \lor \neg A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A \lor B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implicit and explicit references to input tables

- In the example query, three names are mentioned (capital, year, city) which refer to certain columns of the two input tables cities and countries. If you remember the schema of each table, you know which column comes from which table. SQL remembers!

\[
\text{SELECT capital, year}
\text{FROM cities, countries}
\text{WHERE year } \geq \text{ 2000 AND city=capital ;}
\]

- By prefixing column names with their „parent table“ name, you can make these references explicit in a query. Doing so helps readers unfamiliar with the schema. Access always uses this style when generating SQL from QBA queries:

\[
\text{SELECT countries.capital, cities.year}
\text{FROM cities, countries}
\text{WHERE cities.year } \geq \text{ 2000 AND cities.city = countries.capital ;}
\]
Disambiguating references

- In the original version of the example, `population` was used instead of `year`. However, both tables have a `population` row! Thus, even the SQL system does not know to which row I am actually referring to – the one in `cities`, or the one in `countries`:

  ```sql
  SELECT    capital, population
  FROM      cities, countries
  WHERE     population >= 1000 AND city = capital ;
  ```

- For resolving ambiguities like this, it is even necessary to use table names as prefixes in order to explicitly determine the intended reference table – mixing implicit and explicit referencing is possible:

  ```sql
  SELECT    capital, cities. population
  FROM      cities, countries
  WHERE     cities. population >= 1000 AND city = capital ;
  ```
### Alias names for tables

- If you prefer, you can introduce **shorthands** – or even other names – for referring to the input tables in the from clause, e.g. \( X \) for cities and \( Y \) for countries (just like variables in mathematical formulas):

```
SELECT Y.capital, X.population
FROM cities AS X, countries AS Y
WHERE X.population >= 1000
    AND X.city = Y.capital;
```

- Such shorthands (or alternative names) are called **alias names** (or aliases for short). They are declared in the FROM-part using the keyword **AS**.

- You can **mix styles** of referencing: explicit (table name or alias name) or implicit, as long as it is possible to uniquely determine which column refers to which table, e.g.:

```
SELECT CT.capital, population
FROM cities, countries AS CT
WHERE population >= 1000
    AND city = CT.capital;
```
**Aliases for resolving ambiguities**

- Using aliases is **unnecessary** in most cases – however, you are advised to use them (despite the extra effort) whenever the implicit references of columns to tables are confusing for you (or others, reading your query).

- As soon as a table is mentioned more than once in a query, however, it is unavoidable to use aliases in order to resolve possible ambiguities, e.g.:

```sql
SELECT X.country1, Y.country2
FROM common_border AS X, common_border AS Y
WHERE X.country2 = Y.country1
```

- Without the variables it would be unclear, which of the three adjacent countries is actually meant, as they have identical column names. Using the aliases as prefix to the column names resolves the ambiguity.
In order to properly understand further fundamental operators in SQL, it is necessary to get a basic idea of a particular variant of mathematical set theory, called relational algebra.

The mathematical concept of a set is of fundamental importance for almost every area of computer science.

The notion of a set is "defined" in an informal way, as is common practice in mathematics (by intuition, "naive set theory").

"A set is a collection into a whole of definite, distinct objects of our perception or our thought."

Georg Cantor (1845-1918), originator of set theory

Sets are composed of elements (Cantor’s “distinct objects”). In a set, each element appears exactly once (i.e., there are no duplicates). The order in which elements appear does not matter (i.e., sets are unordered).
Almost **everything** can be in a set.

Sets are rather collections of abstract ideas or individuals ("objects") than shopping bags.
Database relations (visualized in tabular form) can be regarded as sets, too. Their elements are called **tuples** in mathematics:

- **R** is a set of 3-tuples (or triples), **S** is a set of 2-tuples (or pairs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- A set is a collection of distinct elements.
- These sets are visualized using a tabular representation and a more abstract representation.
Sets of tuples that are no relations

- **Not every** set of tuples is a relation, though!

- Relations are **homogeneous**, i.e., contain elements (tuples) of the same kind:
  - **same number** of components (called arity in mathematics)
  - **same type** of components at respective positions
Operators for combining sets (1)

\[ A \cup B \]

\[ A \cap B \]

\[ A \setminus B \]

\[ B \setminus A \]
Operators for combining sets (2)

- There are three basic operators for combining sets in general, i.e. for constructing a new result set from the elements of two input sets A and B:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>$A \cup B$</td>
<td>contains all elements of A together with those in B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection</td>
<td>$A \cap B$</td>
<td>contains all elements from A which are in B, too.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>$A - B$</td>
<td>contains all elements from A which are not in B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- If applying them to sets that are relations, we have to make sure that both input sets are „of the same kind“ (i.e. have the same arity and type), otherwise the result set would not be a proper relation again. Thus, the three set operators „behave“ a bit differently if used as relational algebra operators.

- In SQL, there are keyword for the three operators, differing slightly from their names in set theory:

  UNION  INTERSECT  MINUS
Combining relations via set operators

R

1 2 3
2 4 5
3 6 9

Q

1 2 3
2 6 7
3 6 9
4 1 5

R UNION Q

duplicates eliminated!

R INTERSECT Q

1 2 3
3 6 9

R MINUS Q

2 4 5

Q MINUS R

2 6 7
4 1 5
In SQL, set operators may be applied to any two expressions denoting relations of the same kind.
Each clause of a SELECT-FROM-WHERE block corresponds to an operator of relational algebra, too:

- **projection** \(\pi_{A, B}\) eliminates all columns except A and B
- **selection** \(\sigma_{\text{cond}}\) eliminates all rows except those satisfying condition \(\text{cond}\)
- **product** \(R \times S\) set of all combinations of tuples from R and S

**Example:**

\[
\text{SELECT capital, cities.population} \\
\text{FROM cities, countries} \\
\text{WHERE cities.population} \geq 1000 \text{ AND} \\
\text{city} = \text{capital};
\]

- **order of evaluation** matters in SQL: 1) product 2) selection 3) projection
- Don‘t be fooled by SELECT corresponding to the projection part rather than selection!
• There is a special notation for situations, where two tables connected via a product are logically linked via a selection condition involving one column from each table, too:

```sql
SELECT countries.capital, cities.population
FROM cities, countries
WHERE cities.population >= 1000 AND cities.city = countries.capital;
```

• Such linking conditions are called *join conditions*, and the operation is called a *join* in RA. A join may appear in the FROM part in place of the comma (indicating product). The join condition is moved to the FROM part, too:

```sql
SELECT countries.capital, cities.population
FROM cities JOIN countries ON cities.city = countries.capital
WHERE cities.population >= 1000;
```

• Note that JOIN is allowed *in a FROM part only*, not as an independent operator such as, e.g. UNION. – In Access, this form of join is called the *INNER JOIN*.
Nesting blocks in SQL: The IN operator

- If only columns from one of the two tables involved is required in the result table of a query, the other table can be accessed in an inner block nested in the WHERE part:

\[
\text{SELECT countries.capital} \\
\text{FROM cities, countries} \\
\text{WHERE cities.population} \geq 1000 \text{ AND} \\
\text{cities.city = countries.capital} ;
\]

\[
\text{SELECT countries.capital} \\
\text{FROM countries} \\
\text{WHERE countries.capital IN} \\
(\text{SELECT cities.city} \\
\text{FROM cities} \\
\text{WHERE cities.population} \geq 1000) ;
\]

- The keyword **IN** (connecting a column name and a subquery) corresponds to the operator \(\in\) representing the is element of relationship between an object and a set in set theory.
• In Access, the **MINUS** operator expressing set difference is unknown. However, an identical result can be obtained using block nesting and the **NOT IN** operator:

\[
\text{(SELECT city FROM cities) MINUS (SELECT capital FROM countries)}
\]

Which cities are no capitals?

\[
\text{SELECT city FROM cities WHERE city NOT IN (SELECT capital FROM countries)}
\]

• Apart from being a bit more intuitive, this formulation shows more explicitly that set difference is not a symmetric operation: \( R \ MINUS S \neq S \ MINUS R \)

• In addition, the nesting style indicates clearly that the rows „surviving“ in the difference all come from the left operand table.
Simulating intersection by means of JOIN

- Access does not support the `INTERSECT` operator either, as it can be simulated by means of a `JOIN` on all columns of the two tables returning only those rows that have identical values in all of these columns:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(SELECT city FROM cities WHERE population > 1000) INTERSECT (SELECT capital FROM countries)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SELECT city} && \text{FROM cities JOIN countries ON city = capital} \\
\text{WHERE cities.population > 1000}
\end{align*}
\]

- In this case, the order of the input tables does not matter. The above is equivalent to:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SELECT city} && \text{FROM countries JOIN cities ON city = capital} \\
\text{WHERE cities.population > 1000}
\end{align*}
\]
Multi-table queries in SQL: Summary

- Within a SELECT-FROM-WHERE block, two tables can be combined in two ways:
  - by simply listing the tables in the FROM part separated by a comma: `product`
  - by explicit `JOIN` in connection with a join condition in the ON part

- Two independent subqueries can be combined using one of the three set operators in infix notation: `UNION`, `INTERSECT`, and `MINUS`.

- A SELECT-FROM-WHERE block can be nested within the WHERE part of another block by means of the `(NOT) IN` operator, comparing a column in the outer block with a column in the SELECT part of the inner block.

- `JOIN-ON` is not strictly necessary, as it can be expressed by product and selection.

- `MINUS` can be expressed using `NOT IN` and nesting.

- `INTERSECT` can be expressed by a `JOIN` on all columns.

- Thus, SELECT-FROM-WHERE blocks with IN-style nesting and UNION are sufficient for expressing almost all multi-table queries (this is the SQL subset supported by Access).
Duplicate elimination in SQL

- SQL answer tables are no relations in the sense of set theory and relational algebra: Projection and union may produce **duplicate answers** which are **not** automatically eliminated in SQL!

- Fortunately, duplicates can be explicitly eliminated by the user using the keyword **DISTINCT** after SELECT:

```
SELECT DISTINCT Name
FROM countries
WHERE Name in (SELECT country
                FROM cities
                WHERE population > 1000)
```

- It is recommendable to **always** use **SELECT DISTINCT** as soon as a „real“ projection occurs, except if the SELECT part refers to a key column only. – There is no convincing reason for working with duplicates in SQL!
• Important class of „built-in“-functions in SQL:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>SUM</th>
<th>AVG</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>MIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardinality</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Computation of one scalar value from a set of scalar values (the aggregate) originating from one column of one table:
Examples of aggregate expressions in the SELECT-part:

Compute the overall salary of all C3-professors!

```sql
SELECT SUM ( P.salary ) AS Total
FROM professors AS P
WHERE P.Rank = 'C3'
```

Which C3-professors are older than all C4-professors?

```sql
SELECT P.Name
FROM professors AS P
WHERE P.Rank = 'C3' AND P.Age > ( SELECT MAX (Q.Age)
FROM professors AS Q
WHERE Q.Rank = 'C4'
) 
```

**Advisable in order to have a column name for each column in the answer table.**
Aggregate functions (3)

- Often used in connection with aggregate functions:
  extended SELECT-blocks with subdivision of the resultat tables in groups

- Syntactic extension: GROUP BY-clause (after SELECT-FROM-WHERE)

- Basic idea: The result of the evaluation of SELECT-FROM-WHERE (a table) is divided into „subtables“ (groups) with identical values for certain grouping columns (specified in the GROUP BY-part)

- Aggregate functions are applied to groups (as aggregates), if GROUP BY has been specified:
  e.g.:
  ```
  SELECT P.Rank, AVG(P.Age) AS AvgAge
  FROM professors AS P
  GROUP BY P.Rank
  ```

- If no explicit grouping is specified, the entire table is assumed as one big „group“.
Aggregate functions (4)

Illustration with example data:

```
SELECT P. Rank, AVG(P.Age) AS AvgAge
FROM professors AS P
WHERE P.Name <> 'Ken'
GROUP BY P. Rank
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>AvgAge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
Sorting tables in SQL

- **Sorting** of the result table can be specified at the end of a SELECT-block (after GROUP BY, if present at all)

- **Example:**

  ```sql
  SELECT X.Rank, X.Salary
  FROM professors AS X
  ORDER BY X.Rank DESC, X.Salary ASC
  ```

- **“Direction“ of sorting:**
  - **ASC** (ascending, default value if unspecified)
  - **DESC** (descending)

- The order of columns is always respected when sorting, thus introducing multiple sorting criteria.

- Sorting can be specified independent of aggregation.
Null values (1)

In tables of a relational database there might be numerous empty cells – for various reasons and with different meanings. In SQL, there is the feature of a null value associated with this.
Null values (2)

- SQL offers a predefined, universal null value, intended to represent unknown or missing information in a systematic way. The keyword NULL represents such values.

- Correct usage of NULL is difficult, partly because there are a number of inconsequent design decisions in the SQL standard.

- Null values can be interpreted in a number of different ways. Possible interpretations are:
  - Value exists, but is presently unknown.
  - It is known that in this row no value exists in the respective column.
  - It is not known if a value exists or if so, what it is like.

- Intended interpretation of null values in SQL: Value exists, but is unknown!

- Thus: Nulls are called „values“! Each two occurrences of a null value represent different „real“ values presently (still) unknown.

- However: Nulls themselves don‘t have a type but always take the type of the resp. column under consideration.
• In queries, emptiness of a particular cell can be tested by using the keyword NULL. Note that NULL does not represent „the“ null value (as there are infinitely many of them), but simply serves as a test condition applied to a particular field of a particular row.

• One immediate consequence of this particular interpretation of empty cells alias null values is that NULL may not be used in comparisons, i.e. the following are not allowed in SQL:

\[
\text{Name} = \text{NULL} \quad \text{Age} > \text{NULL}
\]

• Instead, there is a special test operator IS which can be used to express checks for „nullness“ (i.e. emptiness of cells), e.g.:

\[
\text{Name IS NULL} \quad \text{Age IS NOT NULL}
\]

• Moreover, you cannot join rows on empty cells, as two different occurrences of a null value (in two different rows) are different by definition, and thus cannot be identified (or compared).
Null values (4)

- Aggregate functions ignore NULL „on purpose“!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUM (Age): 33  
COUNT (Age): 1  
AVG(Age): 33

- Access offers a built-in function (nz), though, for transforming all NULLs in a field by 0 when used in a query, e.g. nz([age],0) (nz stands for null-to-zero)

- In comparisons (and other conditions) NULL leads to usage of a three-valued logic, i.e. a logic with three rather than two truth values:
  
  TRUE, FALSE, UNKNOWN

  Whenever NULL occurs during evaluation, UNKNOWN may result, depending on the logical operators involved (details are beyond the scope of this chapter).

- Example: If A=3, B=4 and IS NULL C, then . . .
  - A > B AND B > C results in FALSE
  - A > B OR B > C results in UNKNOWN
Outer joins

- Automatic generation of null values when using an **OUTER JOIN**-operator:
  
  \{ LEFT | RIGHT | FULL } [ OUTER ] JOIN

- Semantics: „Normal“ join extended by rows filled up with **NULLs**, containing values which would otherwise not appear in a join.

- Example:

  ```sql
  SELECT *
  FROM p FULL OUTER JOIN q ON p.B >= q.B
  ```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  Always contains **INNER JOIN** as subtable!
• **LEFT** and **RIGHT OUTER JOIN**: Only the "non-joining" elements of the left or right table, resp., are filled up with NULLs.

• **Example:**

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
SELECT *  
FROM     p  LEFT OUTER JOIN q   
ON  p.B >= q.B
```

```
---  ----  ----  ----
1    2    2    5  
1    3    2    5  
1    3    3    4  
2    1    NULL NULL

NULL  NULL  5    2
```

• In Access-SQL: Only LEFT JOIN and RIGHT JOIN are supported, no FULL OUTER JOIN; "OUTER" is omitted.
Empty tables (and not so empty ones)

• How does an **empty table** look like in SQL?

• In set theory, „empty“ means: without elements. Thus, an empty table does not contain any row.

• Don‘t confuse this with a table containing just one row the fields of which all consist of NULL values – such a table is not (really) empty!

• In the datasheet view of Access the difference is clearly visible:

```plaintext
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
non-empty table, consisting of a „NULL-row“

```plaintext
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
empty table not containing any row
Boolean queries in SQL

- How to "simulate" a yes/no-query in SQL?
  
  e.g.: Is there a city with more than 4 million inhabitants?

- With table queries, only an indirect answer is possible:
  
  An empty answer table is interpreted as „no“.

  ```sql
  SELECT Name
  FROM city
  WHERE Inhabitants > 4000;
  
  SELECT Name
  FROM city
  WHERE Inhabitants > 4000;
  
  Name
  Paris
  London
  Name
  if yes: non-empty answer table
  if no: empty table

  More reasonable, but not (yet) possible as a „stand-alone“ query according to the SQL standard:

  CHECK EXISTS (SELECT Name FROM city WHERE Inhabitants > 4000)
Update operations in SQL: Overview

- Already mentioned at the beginning of this section:
  **Update statements** are part of the DML-sublanguage of SQL, too!

- SQL offers three basic operations for changing data:
  - **INSERT** insertion of rows
  - **UPDATE** modification of values in columns
  - **DELETE** deletion of rows

- All three types of update operation can be combined with **queries** for retrieving the rows of a particular table to be inserted/updated/deleted.

- **Reminder:** There is the danger of a **terminology conflict**:
  - „Update“ in the general sense refers to **any** kind of change
  - UPDATE in SQL means column value replacement only

- **Recommendation:** Try update statements in **Access** and observe how action queries of type insertion/modification/deletion are automatically transformed into SQL statements, and vice versa.
INSERT-Operation

- Format of insertions:

\[
\text{INSERT INTO } <\text{table-name}> [ ( <\text{list-of-columns}> ) ] <\text{table-expression}>
\]

- Two variants:
  - Direct reference to one or more rows to be inserted, e.g.

\[
\text{INSERT INTO professors (Name, Rank, Department)}
\text{VALUES ('Cremers', 'C4', 'III')}
\]

- Indirect identification of the rows to be inserted via a query, e.g.

\[
\text{INSERT INTO professors}
\text{SELECT *}
\text{FROM researchers AS R}
\text{WHERE R.qualification = 'PhD'}
\]
UPDATE- and DELETE-operation

- Format of modifications:

```sql
UPDATE <table-name>
SET <list-of-assignments>
[ WHERE <conditional-expression> ]
```

- Modifies all rows of "table name" satisfying the WHERE-part according to the assignments of values to columns given in the SET-part.

- Syntax of an individual assignment:

```sql
<column-name> = { <scalar-expression> | DEFAULT | NULL }
```

- Example:

```sql
UPDATE professors
SET Name = 'N.N.'
WHERE Dept = 'II'
```

- Quite similar: Deletions

```sql
DELETE FROM <table-name>
[ WHERE <conditional-expression> ]
```
Data Definition in SQL
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CREATE TABLE
Schema definition in SQL

• The **DDL**-part of SQL is a language for defining a relational DB schema, i.e., a collection of table structures. Before a database can be populated with data, its schema has to be defined.

• SQL offers a number of operations for defining a schema: CREATE TABLE, CREATE VIEW, CREATE DOMAIN etc.

• In addition to defining the structure (i.e. the type) of the tables, a number of **semantic rules** can be associated with the schema. There are three kinds of such rules:
  • **View** definitions (also called deductive rules)
  • **Integrity constraints** (normative rules)
  • **Triggers** (active rules)

• Once a schema has been defined and data have been inserted into the resulting database, it is possible to modify the structure and the rules of a database by means of special operations of the SQL-DDL: **schema evolution**
CREATE TABLE: Principle

- Most important DDL-operation: Creation of a new table

```
CREATE TABLE <table-name>
( <lists-of-table-elements> ) ;
```

- "Table elements" are
  - definitions of name and data type of each column, and
  - constraints referring to the newly created table.

- Syntax of a table definition:

```
CREATE TABLE <table-name>
  <column-name_1> <type_1> [ <column-constraints_1> ],
  <column-name_2> <type_2> [ <column-constraints_2> ],
  ...
  <column-name_n> <type_n> [ <column-constraints_n> ]
[ <table-constraints> ]
```

Integrity constraints
- for individual columns
- for the entire table

Unique within one and the same schema
Example:

SQL-statement defining a table `FootballMatch` containing the results of football matches in the national league:

```sql
CREATE TABLE FootballMatch
(
    Date date,
    HomeTeam text,
    GoalsH number(15) DEFAULT NULL
        CHECK ( >= 0 OR IS NULL),
    GuestTeam text,
    GoalsG number(15) DEFAULT NULL
        CHECK ( >= 0 OR IS NULL),
    Round number(15) NOT NULL
        CHECK ( > 0 AND < 35),
    PRIMARY KEY (Date, HomeTeam),
    FOREIGN KEY ( HomeTeam ) REFERENCES Teams,
    FOREIGN KEY ( GuestTeam ) REFERENCES Teams
) ;
```
CREATE TABLE: General structure

Each table definition consists of two parts: The definitions of the individual columns, and (possibly) constraints valid for the entire table:

```
CREATE TABLE FootballMatch
(
    Date date,
    HomeTeam text,
    GoalsH number(15) DEFAULT NULL
        CHECK (> = 0 OR IS NULL),
    GuestTeam text,
    GoalsG number(15) DEFAULT NULL
        CHECK (> = 0 OR IS NULL),
    Round number(15) NOT NULL
        CHECK (> 0 AND < 35),
    PRIMARY KEY (Date, HomeTeam),
    FOREIGN KEY (HomeTeam) REFERENCES Teams,
    FOREIGN KEY (GuestTeam) REFERENCES Teams
)
```
CREATE TABLE: Column definitions

Each column definition itself consists of two parts, too:

- the declaration of a column name and a type of its values
- (possibly) special constraints for the values in this column

Syntax of column definitions:

```
<column-name> <data-type> [ <column-constraints> ]
```

unique within the same table
CREATE TABLE: Column constraints

Each column definition itself consists of two parts, too:

- the declaration of a column name and a type of its values
- (possibly) special constraints for the values in this column

Syntax of column constraints:

```sql
[ NOT NULL | UNIQUE ]
[ PRIMARY KEY ]
[ DEFAULT   { <literal> | NULL } ]
[ REFERENCES <table-name> ]
[ CHECK <condition> ]
```
The second part of a table definition is optional. It consists of one or more
**table constraints**, normally expressing a restriction on several columns:

```sql
CREATE TABLE FootballMatch
(
    PRIMARY KEY (Date, HomeTeam),
    FOREIGN KEY (HomeTeam)  REFERENCES Teams,
    FOREIGN KEY (GuestTeam) REFERENCES Teams
)
```

Syntax of **table constraints**:

```sql
[ UNIQUE ( <list-of-column-names> ) ]
[ PRIMARY KEY ( <list-of-column-names> ) ]
[ FOREIGN KEY ( <list-of-column-names> )
    REFERENCES <table-name> ]
[ CHECK ( <condition> ) ]
```
Constraints in table definitions

- Table definitions (CREATE TABLE) contain two very similar kinds of constraints:
  - column constraints
  - table constraints (also called: row constraints)

- Column constraints are **abbreviations** of certain special forms of table constraints where the name of the resp. column remains implicit, e.g.

  - column constraint:
    ```
    Type number(15) CHECK ( > 0 AND < 35 ),
    ```

  - table constraint:
    ```
    CHECK ( Type > 0 AND Type < 35 )
    ```

- The condition part of such a **CHECK constraint** has to be satisfied in each admissible (legal, consistent) state of the database.
**UNIQUE and NOT NULL**

- **UNIQUE**-option: definition of a **key** (or: candidate key)
  - single-column key:
    - in a column definition: `<column-name> . . . UNIQUE`
  - multi-column key:
    - separate **UNIQUE**-clause as table constraint:
      ```
      UNIQUE ( <list-of-column-names>)
      ```

- **Semantics**: No two rows will ever have the same value in columns belonging to a key.

- **Exception**: Null values – NULL may occur several times in a **UNIQUE**-column.

- **per table**: Arbitrarily many **UNIQUE**-declarations are possible.

- In a table with **UNIQUE**-declarations **no** duplicates (identical rows) can exist!

- **Exclusion of null values** for individual columns: `<column-name> . . . NOT NULL`
• **Per table:** At most one (candidate) key can be declared the **primary key**.
  - single-column primary key:
    - in column definition: `<column name> . . . PRIMARY KEY`
  - multi-column primary key:
    - separate clause: `PRIMARY KEY ( <list-of-column-names> )`

• **In addition:** No column within a primary key may contain **NULL**!

• **PRIMARY KEY** is **not** the same as **UNIQUE NOT NULL**!
  (in addition: Uniqueness of the p. key within the table)

• Not a real „constraint", but rather similar in syntax:
  Declaration of a **default value** for columns of a table:
  Value which is automatically inserted if no explicit value is given during the insertion of a new row, e.g.

  ```
  Type number(15) DEFAULT 0
  ```
Foreign key constraints

• 2nd special form of constraint within a table declaration:

  **Foreign key constraint**
  (aka referential constraint)

• **Situation:** Column(s) of the table declared (called A) reference(s) (i.e., contains values of) a candidate key or **primary key** of a another („foreign“) table **B**

  Condition: A-columns contain **only** values **actually occurring** in the referenced B-column(s)!

  Columns forming the foreign key

[Diagram showing the relationship between tables A and B with columns forming the foreign key and the condition for the foreign key constraint.]
Syntax of the corresponding constraint (as table constraint):

```sql
FOREIGN KEY ( <list-of-column-names> )
  REFERENCES <table-name> [( <list-of-column-names> )]
```

If „target columns“ are missing: primary key assumed.

**Example:**

```sql
CREATE TABLE t1
  ( a1 INT PRIMARY KEY,
    ...
  )

CREATE TABLE t2
  ( b1 INT REFERENCES t1,
    ...
  )

b1 references a1
```

Abbreviated form as column constraint.
Foreign key constraints (3)

• Complete syntax of a „referential constraint“ provides for various optional extensions:

\[
\text{FOREIGN KEY } ( \text{<list-of-column-names>}) \\
\text{REFERENCES } \text{<base-table-name>} [ ( \text{<list-of-column-names>}) ]
\]

- [ MATCH \{ FULL \| PARTIAL \} ]
- [ ON DELETE \{ NO ACTION \| CASCADE \| SET DEFAULT \| SET NULL \} ]
- [ ON UPDATE \{ NO ACTION \| CASCADE \| SET DEFAULT \| SET NULL \} ]

„Referential actions“ specify what happens in case of integrity violations

• Detailed discussion of all these extensions is beyond the scope of this short introduction.

• Access treats references and referential integrity quite similarly:
  • with change propagation: ON UPDATE CASCADE
  • with delete propagation: ON DELETE CASCADE
Global constraints in SQL

- Not supported by any commercial DB system till now, but defined in the SQL standard:

- Assertions serve as a means for expressing global integrity constraints not tied to a particular table, but ranging over several tables.

- Syntax:

  ```sql
  CREATE ASSERTION <constraint-name>
  CHECK ( <conditional-expression> )
  ```

- In principle, assertions are sufficient for expressing all imaginable constraints, i.e. all "local" forms of constraints are redundant.

- On the other hand, many constraints can only be expressed via assertions, but not by means of table constraints.

- Example:

  ```sql
  CREATE ASSERTION lazy_professor
  CHECK NOT EXISTS
  ( SELECT * FROM professor
    WHERE Name NOT IN ( SELECT Teacher
                        FROM courses ) )
  ```
Integrity checking in SQL

- Important topic related to SQL constraints: Modalities of checking for constraint violations

- Changes in SQL are usually part of greater units of change called transactions:
  - **Transaction**: Sequence of DML statements viewed as "indivisible units"
  - Transactions are either executed completely, or not at all!
  - Transactions always have to lead to **consistent** DB states satisfying all integrity constraints stated in the resp. DB schema.
  - more detailed discussion of the concept "transaction": later!

- Important **motivation** for introducing transactions:
  Some transitions from a consistent state into a consistent follow-up state are **only** possible via **inconsistent intermediate steps**!

- Consequence for integrity checking during transaction processing:
  Checking of constraints should (more or less always) take place at the **end** of a transaction!
Integrity checking in SQL (2)

• **in SQL however:** Unless defined otherwise, integrity checking always happens immediately (i.e., directly after the execution of each update).

• **Motivation:** Many simple table constraints can and ought to be checked immediately as they are independent of any other updates.

• But in particular for „referential cycles“:

Checking at transaction end is *inevitable*!

**e.g.:**

\[
\begin{align*}
C_1 & : \text{"Each course is given by a professor!"} \\
C_2 & : \text{"Each professor has to give at least one course!"}
\end{align*}
\]

When hiring a new professor a consistent state can be reached only via a transaction consisting of two individual insertions:

```
INSERT INTO professor
INSERT INTO course
```

Each intermediate state would be inconsistent: No sequence possible!
• **Thus:** Two forms of integrity checking in SQL
  
  **IMMEDIATE** and **DEFERRED**

• **Meaning:** IMMEDIATE-constraints are *immediately* checked, for DEFERRED-constraints checking is deferred to the end of the current transaction.

• **Unfortunately:** Without explicitly stating one of these alternatives, IMMEDIATE is assumed (which somehow contradicts the idea of a transaction).

• This default assumption can be changed for individual constraints by declaring them as
  
  **INITIALLY DEFERRED.**

• „**INITIALLY““, because the checking status can be changed dynamically during a running transaction:

  \[
  \text{SET CONSTRAINTS } \{ < \text{list-of-constraints} > | \text{ALL} \} \{ \text{DEFERRED} | \text{IMMEDIATE} \}
  \]

• **In addition:** Some constraints can be declared **NOT DEFERRABLE**. But the even more important **NOT IMMEDIATE** does not exists in SQL!

• **In summary:** Integrity checking in „full" SQL can be a difficult affair!
Predefined queries for computation of derived tables as in Access can be declared in an SQL schema as well:

- Views are defined in a separate `CREATE VIEW` statement, simply assigning a name to a query (formulated in SQL-DML), e.g.:

  ```sql
  CREATE VIEW metropolis AS
  ( SELECT ID, Name, Inhabitants, Country
    FROM city
    WHERE Inhabitants >= 1000 ) ;
  ```

- According to the latest edition of the SQL standard, views may even refer to themselves. Such views are called recursive. In this case, the keyword `RECURSIVE` has to be given in front of `VIEW`.

- Recursive views are very useful for traversing data representing graphs such as maps or hierarchies (e.g., „Find all connections from X to Y of arbitrary length!“)
Queries over views

• Queries involving a view are interpreted by expanding the view name, i.e. by textually replacing it by the query associated with it in the view definition:

  CREATE VIEW C4-profs
  AS ( SELECT Name, Dept
      FROM professors
      WHERE Rank = 'C4' )

  SELECT Name
  FROM C4-profs
  WHERE Dept = 'III'

• Note that this technique does no longer work for recursive views, as expansion would never terminate! Other, more elaborate techniques are required in this case, investigated within the special area of deductive database research.
Already in early versions of SQL and in first relational systems an automatic triggering of follow-up changes by the DBMS as a reaction to changes explicitly stated by users or application programs has been suggested.

Declaration of such implicit changes and their combination with triggering events can be done within an SQL schema, too:

Other notion for trigger: Active rule
Name of a DBMS supporting triggers: Active DBMS
Name of the corresponding research area: Active databases

In the SQL92-Standard a trigger concept was still missing.

but: Most commercial DB products already provide triggers in a rather similar form since many years (ORACLE, DB/2, Sybase, Informix, e.g.).

In the new SQL3-Standard (1999) triggers have been standarized for the first time.
Active rules

- Active rules are called **ECA-rules** as well, thus referring to the three components of such rules:

  - **E**
  - **C**
  - **A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&quot;event&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;condition&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;action&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Example of an ECA-rule (in pseudo-code):

```
ON modify(account(A), V_new)
IF V_new < credit(A)
DO block_account(A)
```
Active rules (2)

General meaning of an active rule:
Additional, automatically triggered "background activity"

„Surface process" (e.g. a transaction)

Observe                  React
E  C  A

(suspended)

May recursively trigger other active rules!
Example of an SQL trigger:

CREATE TRIGGER firstCourse
AFTER INSERT ON professors
REFERENCING NEW ROW AS Newcomer
FOR EACH ROW
WHEN (NOT EXISTS
   ( SELECT *
      FROM courses
      WHERE Name = Newcomer.Name ) )
BEGIN ATOMIC
   INSERT INTO courses
   VALUES (Newcomer.Name, NULL, 4-hrs.);
   INSERT INTO exercises
   VALUES (Newcomer.Name, NULL, 2-hrs.)
END